DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v1i1.14

VW Applied Sciences, Volume: 1, Issue: 1, 32-36

Characterization of Mechanical Properties on Magnesium Hybrid Composites for Bio-Medical Applications



ISSN: 2582-5615

Praveen Math¹ \bigcirc Kumaraswamy K L² \bigcirc

¹²School of Mechanical Engineering, **REVA** University. Bangalore. Corresponding Author Email: <u>praveen6.math18@gmail.com</u>

Received: Sept. 10, 2019 Accepted: Sept. 21, 2019 Published online: Sept. 27, 2019 **Abstract:** Over the past centuries there is a considerable development in the medical field. There is a lot of development in surgery and prosthetic fields. For this purpose, a lot of materials are used as implants for replacing them in place of damaged parts. These materials are called as biomaterials. It has been observed that one of the most important properties governing the suitability of the material to be a bio implant is 'wear resistance' 'Corrosion Resistance'. This paper explains about mechanical properties on Magnesium

Keywords: Die casting, Biomaterials, Bio-medicals, Hybrid-composites

1. Introduction

A Biomaterial is any material, natural or manmade, that comprises whole or part of a living structure or biomedical device which performs, augments, or replaces a natural function [1-6]. A Biomaterial is a nonviable material used in the medical device, so it's intended to interact with biological systems". Biomaterials as a very old background of the '80s and '90s where in particular centuries some of the implementation and surgical techniques take place as shown in the below dates [7-16]. Where 80's surgical techniques as been used and the early 90's bone plates as used as the fix fractures. And that time the materials like stainless steel, cobalt, chromium alloys as been used before that these materials as not been used then in the 1938 the first hip prosthesis as been done at the same time after 2 years by biomaterials bone repair was implemented in biomaterials and then Mechanical Heart Valve as done in 1952 where that was an artificial heart which works same as a human heart [17-20].

Characteristics of Biomaterials

- It's Multidisciplinary
- It Uses Many Diverse Materials
- The End product is the Development of Devices
- The Magnitude of the Field is Generally Unappreciated

VW Applied Sciences, Volume: 1, Issue: 1, 32-36 www.vallway.com

Classification of Biomaterials according to their bioactivity:

- (a) Bio-inert Biomaterials: The term bio-inert refers to any material that once placed in the human body has minimal interaction with its surrounding tissue. Examples: stainless steel, titanium, alumina, partially stabilized zirconia, and ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene. Generally, a fibrous capsule might form around bioinert implants hence its functionality relies on tissue integration through the implant.
- (b) Bio-active Biomaterials: Bioactive refers to a material, which upon being placed within the human body interacts with the surrounding bone and in some cases, even soft tissue. This occurs through a time-dependent kinetic modification of the surface, triggered by their implantation within the living bone. An ionexchange reaction between the bioactive implant and surrounding body fluids - results in the formation of a biologically active carbonate apatite (CHAp) layer on the implant that is chemically and crystallographically equivalent to the mineral phase in the bone. Examples: Synthetic hydroxyapatite [Ca10] (PO4)6(OH)2], glass-ceramic A-W and bioglass.
- (c) Bio-resorbable Biomaterials: Bioresorbable refers to a material that upon placement within

the human body starts to dissolve (resorbed) and slowly replaced by advancing tissue (such as bone). Examples: Tricalcium phosphate [Ca₃(PO₃)₂], polylactic-polyglycolic acid copolymers, Calcium oxide, calcium carbonate, and gypsum.

2. Materials Selection

The material selection is carried out by considering various mechanical, physical and chemical properties of the parent material that is to be used for the fabrication of the biomaterials. The materials exhibiting high compressive strength, tensile strength, yield strength and having high density are considered as first-level materials. And the materials exhibiting good hardness, shear modulus, shear strength, and good surface topology are termed as second-level materials. Considering like density, availability, castability, parameters tribological machinability, properties and biocompatibility of the following materials have been selected for the characterization and study of mechanical properties for the biomedical applications.

- Magnesium
- Aluminum
- Zinc

The detailed properties of selected materials are as follows.

Table: 1 Physical values

Parameter	Value
Atomic number	12
Atomic mass	$24.305 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}$
Electronegativity (Pauling)	1.2
Density	1.74 g.cm³ at 20 °C
Melting point	650 °C
Boiling point	1107 °C
Vanderwaals radius	0.16 nm
Ionic radius	0.065 nm
Isotopes	5
Electronic shell	[Ne] $3s^2$
The energy of first ionization	737.5 kJ.mol⁴
The energy of second ionization	1450 kJ.mol ^{.,}
Standard potential	- 2.34 V

Physical properties of Mg:

- Melting point: 923 [or 650 °C (1202 °F)] K
- Boiling point: 1363 [or 1090 °C (1994 °F)] K
- Liquid range: 440 K

Expansion and conduction properties Mg:

- Thermal conductivity: 160 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹
- Coefficient of linear thermal expansion: 8.2 x10-6 K⁻¹

Bulk properties Mg:

- The density of solid: 1738 kg m³
- Molar volume: 14.00 cm³
- The velocity of sound: 4602 m s⁻¹

Elastic properties Mg:

- Young's modulus: 45 GPa
- Rigidity modulus: 17 GPa
- Bulk modulus: 45 GPa
- Poisson's ratio: 0.29 (no units)

The hardness of Mg:

- Mineral hardness: 2.5 (no units)
- Brinell hardness: 260 MN m⁻²
- Vickers hardness: no data MN m²

Chemical properties of Al:

- Atomic Number: 13
- Atomic Weight: 26.981539

Physical properties of Al:

- Melting Temperature: 933.47 K
- Boiling Temperature: 2792.15K
- Critical Temperature: 7850 K

Mechanical Properties of Al:

- Density: 2700 kg/m³
- Modulus of Elasticity: 62.053 GPa
- Poisson Ratio: 0.35
- Thermal Expansion Coefficient: 2.310 /K

Table 2: Chemical properties of Zn:			
Name, symbol, number Zinc, Zn, 30			
Chemical series	Transition metals		
Group, period, block	12, 4, d		
Appearance	Bluish pale gray		
Atomic mass	65.409(4) g/mol		
Electron configuration	[Ar] 3d10 4s2		
Electrons per shell	2, 8, 18, 2		

Table 3: Physical properties of Zn:

Parameter	Value
Density	7.14 g.cm ³
Melting point	692.68 K (419.53°C, 787.15°F)
Boiling point	1180 K (907°C, 1665°F)
Heat of fusion	7.32 kJ.mol ^₁
Heat of vaporization	n 123.6 kJ.mol¹
Heat capacity	(25°C) 25.390 J.mol ⁴ .K ⁴

3. Methodology

Rule of mixture: The idea is that by combining two or more distinct materials one can engineer a new material with the desired combination of properties (e.g., light, strong, corrosion-resistant). The idea that a better combination of properties can be achieved is called the principle of combined action or rule of mixture.

Taking the density formula, the basic equation for the rule of the mixture is;

$V_{c} = V_{Mg} + V_{Al} + V_{zn}$

$$\frac{Mc}{\rho c} = \frac{Mmg}{\rho mg} + \frac{Mal}{\rho al} + \frac{Mzn}{\rho zn}$$

Die casting: The die is created using two hardened tool steel dies which have been machined into shape and work similarly to an injection mold during the process. Most die castings are made from non-ferrous metals, specifically zinc, copper, aluminum, magnesium, lead, pewter and tin-based alloys. Depending on the type of metal being cast, a hot- or cold-chamber machine is used. Die has the following specification.



Fig-1 Muffle furnace.





Stirring the Mixture(Matrix + Reinforcement)

Formation of Slag



Fig-2 Die casting.

- The material used: Mild steel
- Type of Die: Split type (using two plates)
- Plate dimensions: 150*120*27mm (for one plate)
- Cavity shape: Round rods of diameter 20mm two holes and 12mm of one hole.

Muffle furnace: Furnace operating temperature is 800°C. And Die temperature should be maintained at 260°c before poring of molten metal into cavity. Fig-1 shows the muffle furnace.

Die casting: Die casting is a metal casting process that is characterized by forcing molten metal under high pressure into a mold cavity. By using muffle furnace melting of selected materials is done according to the following procedure. Weigh the materials according to the calculated weight from rule of mixture, after weighing add the materials into crucible and place it in furnace and switch on the furnace for heating. Set the temperature of the muffler furnace to 800°c.

VW Applied Sciences, Volume: 1, Issue: 1, 32-36 www.vallway.com correspondingly put the Die for heating in oven at temperature of 260°c. when the furnace reaches for set temperature pour the molten metal into the Die. And keep the die for cooling at atmospheric temperature and remove the casted pieces from the Die. The complete die casting process is shown in Fig-2.

Machining: Machining is done by using lathe according to ASTM standards.

4. Experimental study:

Tensile test: Tensile test is done according to American society for testing and materials (ASTM). The ASTM standard is E-08. Uniaxial tensile testing is the most commonly used for obtaining the mechanical characteristics of isotropic materials. For anisotropic materials, such as composite materials and textiles, biaxial tensile testing is required.

Compression test: The American society for testing and materials (ASTM) for compression test is E-09. The specimen is subjected to an increasing axial compressive load and strain may be monitored either continuously or in finite increment, and the mechanical properties in compression determined.

Shear test: The American society for testing and materials (ASTM) for bending test is E-143-012. A shear

5. Result and Discussion

test determines the ability of a material to stand up against perpendicular, or upright, stresses. Such testing can be used for a variety of activities and may involve many different kinds of equipment. Single shear carries all load on one face while double shear carries it on two faces.

Tensile test: By using a Universal testing machine **(UTM)** the tensile test is conducted, and the following results are obtained.

S. No	Composition	Ultimate tensile Load in KN	Ultimate tensile stress in KN/mm²
1	Magnesium 88.99%, Aluminium 5.66%, Zinc 4.95%. sample 1	13.420	0.211
2	Magnesium 88.99%, Aluminium 5.66%, Zinc 4.95%.,sample 2	10.880	0.171
3	Magnesium 74.85%, Aluminium 6.24%, Zinc 18.46% sample 1	9.460	0.149
5	Magnesium 74.85%, Aluminium 6.24%, Zinc 18.46%sample 2	11.180	0.176
4	Magnesium 83.88%, Aluminium 4.89%, Zinc 10.75% sample 2	10.900	0.171

Table 4: Tensile test results for different samples.

Compression test: By using a Universal testing machine **(UTM)** the Compression test is conducted, and the following results are obtained.

Sl no	composition	Ultimate compressive load In KN	Ultimate compressive stress in KN/mm²
1	Magnesium 88.99%, Aluminium 5.66%, Zinc 4.95%.	83.440	0.329
2	Magnesium 83.88%, Aluminium 4.89%, Zinc 10.75%	98.32	0.386
3	Magnesium 74.85%, Aluminium 6.24%, Zinc 18.46%	93.020	0.365

Table 5: Compression test results for different specimens.

Shear test: By using a Universal testing machine (UTM) the Shear test is conducted, and the following results are obtained.

Table 6: Shear test results for different specimens.

S. No	Composition	Type of shear	Ultimate load in KN	Ultimate stress in KN/mm²	Ultimate shear stress in KN/mm²
1	Magnesium 88.99%, Aluminium	Double	30.10	0.266	0.133
	5.66%, Zinc 4.95%.	shear			
2	Magnesium 83.88%, Aluminium	Single	19.640	0.174	0.087
	4.89%, Zinc 10.75%	shear			
3	Magnesium 83.88%, Aluminium	Double	26.70	0.236	0.118
	4.89%, Zinc 10.75%	shear			
4	Magnesium 74.85%, Aluminium	Single	20.280	0.174	0.090
	6.24%, Zinc 18.46%	shear			
5	Magnesium 74.85%, Aluminium	Double	33.460	0.296	0.148
	6.24%, Zinc 18.46%	shear			

6. Conclusion

- In tensile test it is seen that when the zinc is added up to 4.95 ultimate tensile load withstanding capacity of the prepared composite is 13.9kN. And as zinc is increased up to 18.46 the tensile load withstanding capacity is reduced to 9.46kN. Hence by the obtained result we can easily interpret that as the composition of zinc increases the material is turning into brittle thereby reducing the tensile properties of the biomaterial.
- In the tensile test results as it is been observed that the material is acting as brittle in nature as there is an increase in the zinc composition. Hence the compression strength of the biomaterial is increased from 83.44kN to 98.32kN as the zinc was increased from 4.95 to 10.75. But beyond the 10% of zinc again the compression strength has been reduced which means that the material has turned to be very highly brittle in nature. This can be concluded as the zinc percentage up to an optimum case i.e upto10% increases the compression strength of the material then it gradually decreases with increase in the reinforcements.
- The maximum shear strength obtained is 20.280kN in terms of single shear and 33.460kN in double shear these results are obtained when the reinforcement i.e zinc is added upto 18.46% which means that as the reinforcement is increasing the shear strength of the material is also increasing.

References

 Williams DF, Cunningham J. Materials in Clinical Dentistry. Oxford, UK; Oxford University Press, 1979.
 Park JB. Biomaterials Science and Engineering. New York; Plenum Press, 1984.

[3] J American Ceramic Society 1991; 74:1487-510

[4] Hench LL. Bioceramics: from concept

[5] www.azom.com Biomaterials: An Overview.

[6] Boretos, J.W., Eden, M. Contemporary Biomaterials, Material and Host Response, Clinical Applications, New Technology and Legal Aspects. Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, NJ (1984), pp. 232– 233.]

[7] Zhang Z, Tremblay R, Dube D. Microstructure and mechanical properties of ZA104 (0.3-0.6 Ca) die-casting

magnesium alloys. Materials Science and Engineering A. 2004; 385:286-291.

[8] Gupta M, Meenashisundaram GK. Insight into Designing Biocompatible Magnesium Alloys and Composites. Singapore: Springer; 2015

[9] Dieringa H, Hort N. Magnesiumbased metal matrix nanocomposites— Processing and properties. In: TMS Annual Meeting & Exhibition. Cham: Springer; 2018. pp. 679-691.

[10] Kumar S, Suman KN, Ravindra K, Poddar P, SB VS. Microstructure, mechanical response and fractography of AZ91E/Al2O3 (p) nano composite fabricated by semi solid stir casting method. Journal of Magnesium and Alloys. 2017;5:48-55.

[11] Li Q, Rottmair CA, Singer RF. CNT reinforced light metal composites produced by melt stirring and by high pressure die casting. Composites Science and Technology. 2010;70:2242-2247.

[12] Ceschini L, Dahle A, Gupta M, Jarfors AE, Jayalakshmi S, Morri A, et al. Aluminum and Magnesium Metal Matrix Nanocomposites. Singapore: Springer; 2017

[13] Habibnejad-Korayem M, Mahmudi R, Poole WJ. Enhanced properties of Mg-based nanocomposites reinforced with Al2O3 nano-particles. Materials Science and Engineering A. 2009; 519:198-203.

[14] Huang SJ, Chen ZW. Grain refinement of AlNp/AZ91D magnesium metal-matrix composites. Kovove Materialy Metallic Materials. 2011; 49:259-264.
[15] Matin A, Saniee FF, Abedi HR. Microstructure and mechanical properties of Mg/SiC and AZ80/SiC nano-composites fabricated through stir casting method. Materials Science and Engineering A. 2015; 625:81-88.
[16] Radi Y, Mahmudi R. Effect of Al2O3 nanoparticles on the microstructural stability of AZ31 Mg alloy after equal channel angular pressing. Materials Science and Engineering A. 2010; 527:2764-2771.

[17] Huang SJ, Lin PC, Aoh JN. Mechanical behavior enhancement of AM60/Al2O3p magnesium metalmatrix nanocomposites by ECAE. Materials and Manufacturing Processes. 2015; 30:1272-1277.

[18] Yuan QH, Fu DM, Zeng XS, Yong LI. Fabrication of carbon nanotube reinforced AZ91D composite with superior mechanical properties. Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China. 2017; 27:1716-1724.

[19] Zhang S, Li M, Wang H, Cheng W, Lei W, Liu Y, et al. Microstructure and tensile properties of ECAPed Mg9Al-1Si-1SiC composites: The influence of initial microstructures. Materials. 2018; 11:136.

[20] Dieringa H. Processing of magnesium-based metal matrix nanocomposites by ultrasound-assisted particle dispersion: A review. Meta. 2018; 8:431.



© 2019 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).